

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPĹICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,304	12/11/2001	Yao Wang	EMC-01-201	7237
24227 EMC CORPOR	7590 05/03/2007 RATION		EXAMINER	
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
176 SOUTH ST HOPKINTON,		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2143	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/017,304	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	David E. England	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 February 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 5, 7, 8, 16 – 18, 20 – 22 and 24 – 28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 – 5, 7, 8, 16 – 18, 20 – 22 and 24 – 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	пві і аселі Арріїсаціон				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 5, 7, 8, 16 - 18, 20 - 22 and 24 - 28 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Claim 28 recites a computer-readable medium, the Applicant's specification defines the readable medium as both tangible and non-tangible, "The methods and apparatus of the present invention may also be embodied in the form of program code that is transmitted over some transmission medium, such as over electrical wiring or cabling, through fiber optics, or via any other form of transmission." When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory, MPEP 2106.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/017,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1 5, 7, 8, 16, 18, 20 22, 24 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colby et al. (6449647) (hereinafter Colby) in further view of Chiou et al. (6792507) (hereinafter Chiou).
- 7. Referencing claim 1, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches a method, operable on a computer system, for managing network resources for transfer of data stored on a first data storage system to a second data storage system in a data replication process, the method comprising the computer-executed steps of:
- 8. requesting from a server for services on a network, an allocation of bandwidth for data copying from the first data storage system to the second data storage system over the network based on an estimate of the data to be copied and a known time period in which to copy said data, (e.g. col. 2, line 65 col. 3, line 9, col. 9, lines 5 40 & col. 11, lines 28 67),
- 9. wherein the bandwidth allocation is determined based on an estimate of data to be copied and a known time period, (e.g., col. 9, lines 5 40 & Table 1);
- 10. transferring data in response to the bandwidth allocation from the server based on the request, (e.g. col. 9, lines 5-24);
- 11. monitoring network traffic characteristics during the data transfer, (e.g. col. 9, lines 5 24); and

Application/Control Number: 10/017,304

Art Unit: 2143

14.

copying data.

12. responsive to the monitored network traffic characteristics, selectively requesting an effect on the bandwidth allocation, (e.g. col. 9, lines 5-24),

Page 4

- 13. but does not specifically teach managing network resources for copying data stored on a first data storage system to a second data storage system, wherein each data storage system includes an array of data storage devices on which data involved in the copying is stored; and
- 15. Chiou teaches managing network resources for copying data stored on a first data storage system to a second data storage system, wherein each data storage system includes an array of data storage devices on which data involved in the copying is stored, (e.g., col. 7, lines 20 47 & col. 10, lines 37 63, "update"); and
- 16. copying data, (e.g., col. 10, lines 37 63, "update"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Chiou with Colby because when the requesting hosts and the target devices are geographically separated as in the Internet environment, their distributed cache scheme implementation may not always produce the desired performance gains due to the data transmission latency across wide area networks, therefore copying data to devices that are geographically closer to requesting hosts lessens the latency of data transferred and bandwidth consumption.
- 17. Referencing claim 2, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the effect requested is to increase bandwidth allocation, (e.g. col. 9, line 36 col. 10, line 8).

Art Unit: 2143

- 18. Referencing claim 3, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the request is in accordance with a Java-based protocol, (e.g. col. 5, lines 28 48).
- 19. Referencing claim 4, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the effect requested is to increase the bandwidth allocation based on not meeting at least one performance criterion, (e.g. col. 9, line 36 col. 10, line 8), but does not specifically teach copying data. Chiou teaches copying data, (e.g., col. 10, lines 37 63, "update"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Chiou with Colby because of similar reasons stated above.
- 20. Referencing claim 5, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the at least one performance criterion is a predetermined data transfer rate, (e.g. col. 9, line 36 col. 10, line 8).
- 21. Referencing claim 7, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the monitored internet network traffic characteristics includes information regarding packet latency, (e.g. col. 9, lines 5 35), but does not specifically teach copying data. Chiou teaches copying data, (e.g., col. 10, lines 37 63, "update"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Chiou with Colby because of similar reasons stated above.

22. Referencing claim 8, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the monitored internet network traffic characteristics includes information regarding packet loss, (e.g. col. 9, lines 5 – 24 & TABLE 1), but does not specifically teach copying data. Chiou teaches copying data, (e.g., col. 10, lines 37 – 63, "update"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Chiou with Colby because of similar reasons stated above.

Page 6

- 23. Referencing claim 16, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby teaches the data replication is carried out in accordance with a replication policy, (e.g. col. 5, lines 29 48).
- 24. Claims 18, 20 22, 24 26 and 28 are rejected for similar reasons stated above.
- 25. Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colby and Chiou as applied to claims 1, 9, 16, 18, 19 and 26 above, and in view of Lyon et al. (6028841) (hereinafter Lyon).
- 26. As per claim 17, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Colby and Chiou do not specifically teach the replication policy defines replication groups including devices distributed between the first and second data storage systems and the data replication process is completed when all devices in the replication groups are synchronized. Lyon teaches the replication policy defines replication groups including devices distributed between the first and second data storage systems and the data replication process is completed when all devices in the replication groups

Application/Control Number: 10/017,304 Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

are synchronized, (e.g. col. 6, lines 7 - 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was conceived to combine Lyon with the combine system of Colby and Chiou because synchronizing all devices would guarantee that all control functions see identical stimuli.

27. Claim 27 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

# Response to Arguments

- 28. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 5, 7, 8, 16 18, 20 22 and 24 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 29. Furthermore, Applicant states that support for their amendment is found on page 57. Even though the claims could be interpreted as such, the claim language is broader now then previously stated and can be interpreted differently then what the Applicant intended for. If Applicant were to amend the claims to state what is in page 57 it would bring more light to the true intention of the invention if this section of the invention is what Applicant deems as the novelty to the invention.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/017,304 Page 8

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. England whose telephone number is 571-272-3912. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David E. England Examiner Art Unit 2143

DE DL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100